## **Initial Inventory**

## MT-2 Case Number or Project Name:

Stream Name(s): Reviewer:

Review Date:

	Review Items	Pass/Fail/NA	<b>Reviewer Comments</b>
	Ger	eral Items	
	Are all the appropriate MT-2 forms provided?		
	Does the case require a review fee? If so, has the correct fee been provided?		
	Have all of the affected communities provided concurrence with the revision?		
	Has a P.E. provided certification?		
	Has a topographic workmap been provided? Does it contain all of the required information listed in the MT-2 forms?		
	Has an annotated FIRM panel been provided that shows the revision?		
	Have digital hydrologic models been provided, if applicable?		
	Have digital hydraulic models been provided?		
	Is the duplicate effective model with in 0.1 feet of the effective model if the same modeling program is used? Or, is the duplicate effective model within 0.5 feet of the effective model if a different modeling program was used?		
	Is the boundary condition appropriate for the models?		
	Are floodway surcharges between 0.0 and 0.1 feet?		
	Has IDNR approval been provided for H&H and floodway when appropriate?		
	Have as-built plans been provided?		
	Have public notices been distributed for floodway revisions?		
	Has documentation been provided to meet the 65.12 criteria, if applicable?		
	Have individual property owner notifications been mailed to properties affected by BFE increases or SFHA increases?		
	Does the revision tie-in to the effective data at both the upstream and downstream limits?		
	Does the revision affect FIS tables? FDT? Summary of Discharges?		
_	Does the revision affect FIS Profiles?		
	Does the revision area small enough to be processed as a LOMR?		